IN THE HIGH COURTOF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.18276 of of 2007
Date of decision: 4.12.2007

Paramjit Singh

....Petitioner.

vs.

State Transport Commissioner Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTI CE J.S.KHEHAR.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SHAM SUNDER.

Present: Mr.P.S.Bawa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

--

J.S.KHEHAR,J. (Oral)

The petitioner is stated to have submitted an application dated 7.1.2004(Annexure P1) for grant of a permit on Bhagta to Dhilwan via School Kesar Singh wala route.It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner, that the claim of the petitioner for grant of the aforesaid permit ought to have been considered by respondent i.e. State Transport Commissioner,Punjab, specially on account of the fact that there is no notification under Section 71(3)(a) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, laying down specified numbers of permits for allotment to the route in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied if the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction

to the respondent i.e. State Transport Commissioner Punjab, requiring him to dispose of the aforesaid legal notice dated 7.1.2004(Annexure P1)

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioner and without expressing any opinion thereon, we consider it just and appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to the respondent i.e. State Transport Commissioner,Punjab, to take a final decision on the application submitted by the petitioner dated 7.1.2004(Annexure P1) by passing a well reasoned speaking order within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

Order Dasti on payment of usual charges.

(J.S.Khehar)
Judge

(Sham Sunder)
Judge

December 4, 2007
rk

IN THE HIGH COURTOF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.18380 of of 2007
Date of decision: 6.12.2007

Godel Temple Transport Company

....Petitioner.

vs.

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTI CE J.S.KHEHAR.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SHAM SUNDER.

Present: Mr.Sushil Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

--

J.S.KHEHAR,J. (Oral)

The petitioner is stated to have submitted applications dated 31.8.2007(Annexures P1and P2) for grant of permits on Samana-Balbera via Badanpur, Kamalpur, Bibipur and Patiala-Manjouli via Bahadurgarh Seel, Chapar routes. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner, that the claim of the petitioner for grant of the aforesaid permits ought to have been considered by respondent No.2 i.e. the State Transport Commissioner,Punjab , specially on account of the fact that there is no notification under Section 71(3)(a) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, laying down specified numbers of permits for allotment to the route in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied if the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction

to respondent No.2 i.e. the State Transport Commissioner Punjab, requiring him to dispose of the aforesaid applications dated 31.8.2007(Annexure P1 and P2).

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioner and without expressing any opinion thereon, we consider it just and appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. the State Transport Commissioner,Punjab, to take a final decision on the applications submitted by the petitioner dated31.8.2007(Annexures P1 and P2) by passing a well reasoned speaking order within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

Order Dasti on payment of usual charges.

(J.S.Khehar)
Judge

(Sham Sunder)
Judge

December 6, 2007
rk

IN THE HIGH COURTOF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.18378 of of 2007
Date of decision: 6.12.2007

Mukesh Kumar

....Petitioner.

vs.

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTI CE J.S.KHEHAR.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SHAM SUNDER.

Present: Mr.Sushil Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

--

J.S.KHEHAR,J. (Oral)

The petitioner is stated to have submitted an applicationdated 21.6.2007(Annexures P1) for grant of permits on Patiala-Manjouli via Bahadurgarh,Seel and Chapar routes.It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner, that the claim of the petitioner for grant of the aforesaid permit ought to have been considered by respondent No.2 i.e. the State Transport Commissioner,Punjab, specially on account of the fact that there is no notification under Section 71(3)(a) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, laying down specified numbers of permits for allotment to the route in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied if the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction

to respondent No.2 i.e. the State Transport Commissioner Punjab, requiring him to dispose of the aforesaid application dated 21.6.2007(Annexure P1).

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioner and without expressing any opinion thereon, we consider it just and appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. the State Transport Commissioner,Punjab, to take a final decision on the applications submitted by the petitioner dated 21.6.2007(Annexures P1) by passing a well reasoned speaking order within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

Order Dasti on payment of usual charges.

(J.S.Khehar)
Judge

(Sham Sunder)
Judge

December 6, 2007
rk

IN THE HIGH COURTOF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.18376 of of 2007
Date of decision: 6.12.2007

Rupinder Singh

....Petitioner.

vs.

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTI CE J.S.KHEHAR.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SHAM SUNDER.

Present: Mr.Sushil Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

--

J.S.KHEHAR,J. (Oral)

The petitioner is stated to have submitted an application dated 31.8.2007(Annexures P1) for grant of permits on Patiala to Akar via DCW bye-pass, Urban Esate, Punjabi University, Bahadurgarh, Bahourpur Janherian and Batheri routes.It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner, that the claim of the petitioner for grant of the aforesaid permit ought to have been considered by respondent No.2 i.e. the State Transport Commissioner,Punjab, specially on account of the fact that there is no notification under Section 71(3)(a) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, laying down specified numbers of permits for allotment to the route in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied if the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction

to respondent No.2 i.e. the State Transport Commissioner Punjab, requiring him to dispose of the aforesaid application dated 31.8.2007(Annexure P1).

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioner and without expressing any opinion thereon, we consider it just and appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. the State Transport Commissioner,Punjab, to take a final decision on the application submitted by the petitioner dated 31.8.2007(Annexures P1) by passing a well reasoned speaking order within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

Order Dasti on payment of usual charges.

(J.S.Khehar)
Judge

(Sham Sunder)
Judge

December 6, 2007
rk

IN THE HIGH COURTOF PUNJAB AND HARYANA, CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.18392 of of 2007
Date of decision: 6.12.2007

Gurmit Singh

....Petitioner.

vs.

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTI CE J.S.KHEHAR.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SHAM SUNDER.

Present: Mr.Sushil Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

--

J.S.KHEHAR,J. (Oral)

The petitioner is stated to have submitted an application dated 19.6.2007(Annexures P1) for grant of permits on Patiala-Manjouli via Bahadurgarh, Seel and Chapar routes.It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner, that the claim of the petitioner for grant of the aforesaid permit ought to have been considered by respondent No.2 i.e. the State Transport Commissioner,Punjab, specially on account of the fact that there is no notification under Section 71(3)(a) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988, laying down specified numbers of permits for allotment to the route in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied if the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction

to respondent No.2 i.e. the State Transport Commissioner Punjab, requiring him to dispose of the aforesaid application dated 19.6.2007(Annexure P1).

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioner and without expressing any opinion thereon, we consider it just and appropriate to dispose of the instant writ petition with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. the State Transport Commissioner,Punjab, to take a final decision on the application submitted by the petitioner dated 19.6.2007(Annexures P1) by passing a well reasoned speaking order within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

Order Dasti on payment of usual charges.

(J.S.Khehar)
Judge

(Sham Sunder)
Judge

December 6, 2007
rk